

# **SO MANY FLAWS IN DARWINISM**

*F. Hoyle & C. Wickramasinghe (1981), "Evolution From Space", J.M. Dent & Sons: London*

***What did they find that caused them to make such a statement?***

1. It is sheer nonsense that life would inevitably evolve on a planet like Earth.
2. The very early environment of Earth was not favourable for life to evolve.
3. It would have been impossible for life to start in a primordial soup.
4. The probability that the chemistry of living things formed by chance, random shuffling of simple organic molecules is equivalent to zero.
5. Chance does not produce complex life.
6. Mutation and natural selection could not have created the first complex organic molecules.
7. The fossilized life found in the oldest rocks was already complex.
8. There is no evidence that simple cells (procaryotes) evolved into more complex cells (eukaryotes).

9. It is debatable whether the information stored inside cells could have evolved from simple chemicals.
10. The amount of genetic material doesn't directly increase with the complexity of the organism.
11. Natural selection only improves adaptation, it does not create organisms in the first place.
12. The rate of mutation is so slow that there isn't enough time for Darwinian evolution to work.
13. Genetic miscopying is more likely to lead to devolution (ie the decline of species) than evolution.
14. The fossil record is not 'very incomplete' — it is complete.
15. The fossil record does not support Darwinian evolution.
16. The fossil record shows stasis — living things did not evolve.
17. There never were any evolutionary intermediates.
18. The geologic time-scale is used to defend evolution even though it can't be demonstrated to be accurate.

### *They also found that ...*

1. Drawings of evolutionary trees are purely hypothetical.
2. Scientific authors draw intermediates on their evolutionary trees even though they don't exist.
3. Most scientists take Darwinism and its supporting theories to be true at face value.
4. Because of prejudice, scientists pay more attention to the myths of evolution than to the facts.
5. Scientists accept weak explanations that support evolution because they totally believe Darwinism.
6. Scientists seem reluctant to abandon Darwinism even when the evidence against it is convincing, because the change would cause them too much turmoil.
7. 'Scientific' explanations of how Darwinian evolution has worked are based on theories.
8. Darwinism was automatically accepted as truth when Lamarck failed to prove his theory.
9. Evolutionists try to evade the reality of the Second Law of Thermodynamics.
10. 'Ockham's razor' condemns Darwinism.

### *What were their deductions?*

1. There was a creation.
2. The various kinds of living things were separately created.
3. The physical laws that life depends on were deliberately made.
4. The physical laws of the universe were deliberately made by a higher intelligence.
5. There must be an intelligence greater than our own.
6. Life was created by an intelligence.
7. God exists.